G R S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 11—1918

Serial Nos. 1—9

191 8.

(Kurnool)

Later references.

1 6

17-43-1.6.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—9

Part B for August 1919

SUBJECT.

Lease of Inam lands endowed to Ganga Visveswara Swami temple at Bepavalli in the Sirkahalli Taluk.

No. 433 d. 4.8.1919

To The Dy. Comm. Kurnool.

Orders the continuance of the — for a further period of 10 years from , to Mangapuram.

4.8.19

DNG50—1 UB—30,000 - 28-1-18

To Mangapuram

435-d. 4.8.1919. To Tirumanda

Informing the above

G. R. S. III—1] 191 8.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezrai)

NOTES.

File No. 11 — 1918

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Ganga Visweswara Devaru temple at Begavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

From

Ganavalli Manjappiah.

No. Petition Dated 19.6.1918 Received 3-7-18

Diary No. 40	Enclos. — Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that for reasons given in the petition, the inam lands may be leased out to him for 15 years on any reasonable enhanced jutta, on condition of his improving the lands and also the tank in it therein at a cost of Rs 3000.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

19 A)

Some files bearing on the case are put up. In Govt Pro. no. 191-4/Inez. 113-08 Dy 10th November 1908, the management of Sri Ganga Visweswara Devaru Temple at Bilaramane in the Tirthahalli Taluk was assumed by Govt.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000—16-11-16

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 11 of 1918.

Serial No.

owing to the mismanagement of the trustee, and the alienation of the temple lands, by the Vahivatdars; a Scale of Expr was sanctioned, and the Dy. Comms' proposal to lease out the temple lands to the present petr Manjappiah was approved. The lease terminates in the year 1919.

B 2 On a complaint of the Vahivatdar that the lessee has spoiled the temple lands, it was directed in G.O. no. 2102-3/Muz. J. 651-13-5 Dy 31st March 1915, that the ~~current~~ existing lease might be cancelled, and that the temple properties might be leased to Thimmanna Bhatta, after execution by him of a formal lease deed.

C 3 On a review petition from the lessee praying for a re-consideration of the foregoing orders, the Dy. Comm was asked to send up a report after a personal inspection, and

D after hearing the contending parties — the lessee and the Vahivatdar — and in the ~~Brought~~ light of the facts mentioned in the Dy. Comms

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mus/ File No. 11 of 191 8

Serial No.

29 E

further repah no. 226/40 Dy 29-11-1915, it was directed that there was no sufficient reason for the Cancellation of Manjappaiya's lease which should not be disturbed during the currency of its period (G.O. no 2172-3 Dy 14.3.1916

Ca. F

4 The improvements effected to the lands by the lessee at the time of the Taluk Amildar's inspection of the lands, are reported in detail in the Dy. Commr's. letter Dy 29-11-1915.

29. E

29 Oc

5. In his letter no. 434/R 140 Dy 8th may 1916, the Dy. Commr having recommended that the management of the temple and its endowments might be returned to Sri. and that Thimmanna Bhatta might be dispossessed of the temple Vahivat, owing to the failure of the latter to comply with the conditions on which the management was restored to him, it was directed in G.O no 695/Mus. 651-13-18 Dy 12th Septr 1916 that Thimmanna Bhatta might be given another chance, that the monthly charges might be paid by the Amildar to the Vahivatdar, and that the temple

29 H

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jmz File No. 11 of 191 8.

Serial No.

money appropriated by the Valivatdar might be recovered in full by the stoppage of the Valivat allowance. (This is the last order of Govt. in the case).

6 The present lessee - Manjappaiyya has put in a petition stating that his lease is drawing to a close, that he has been spending 200 to 300 Rs for the improvement of the lands & that he was not so far able to reap the fruits of his labour and the large outlay on the lands; as the arecanuts yield their crops 12 years after their plantation - He prays that the lands might be leased out to him for 15 years on any reasonable enhanced Sudda, and adds that if the lands are leased out to him, he will expend further improvements both to the land and the tank in it at a cost of ~~Rs~~ 800 f.

7 Circular order no 517-25/Jmz. 115-16-8. dt 29-8-1917 directs that no leases should ordinarily be granted for more than 5 years at a time.

Submitted for orders.

In case of malnad Deputy Tahsildars

9-8-1918

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mer File No. ((of 191 8

Serial No.

seems to be different from that of other places, as the lessee's losses & labours, here, began to bear fruit only after a no. of years. The lease may, if this man has been regular in his payments of Govt. dues, probably be extended by another 10 years under proper safeguards. Any how a report from the Dy Com^r seems necd. - The Petn may be sent to the Dy Com^r for report.

RA
9.8.18

The Dy Comr may be requested to give his Opinion in the matter of the renewal of the lease, prayed for by the Petr.

Seen. 11 Yes. Also to intimate petnr that valuation of estate in Agriculture Dept. Course

KD. 9/8/18

KD. 12/8/18

AV. In Secretl. with DFS.

Lo. C. of

MM 12.8.18 RA

Approved KD.

2. No 556-D/16.8.18. To D.C. of Shimoga. Calls for the D.C.'s opinion in the matter of the R. lease

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

WD A 1789--GPB--60,000--20 3 18--S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Meer File No. 11 of 1918

Serial No.

Lease of the Inam lands endowed to Begavalli Gangaviswesswara devaru.

No 592 dy 19-8-18. Jothe Vaivalda informs the above

3 From, the Dy Commissioner, Tri. District,

Letter no 467 (Rev) 18-19 dated 19th April 1919.

Reports that as directed, the Talukdar Amildar inspected the lands in the company of the Co[illegible] Vedavalli-Nirmapriah and [illegible] the villagers on 8th June 1918 drew up a Mahazar a true copy of the lands after the [illegible] the possession of Ramajogiah the lessee, that the Talukdar [illegible] also issued notices, [illegible] and the statement of the Valuiadar, one [illegible] Valuiadar is not [illegible] garden land is an [illegible] view of his [illegible] land may be [illegible] lease for 10 years on condition [illegible] enhanced rate of Rs 60/- [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60 000—20-8 18—S.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 11 of 191 .

Serial No.

The two Tanks within the Lewis Bag Cantonment has submitted his within a period of 2 years ~~repair for orders of Govt.~~

3

Submitted with a draft returning records for approval and issue orders.

8-5-1924

8/5/24

Draft may be approved.

8/5/24

Secy)

Asst

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 8 18—S.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. File No. 11 of 1915.

Serial No.

4 Calls for ... in Re ... Shimoga —

—From, the Dy. Comr., Shimoga Dist.

5 Letter no. 467 Dy 23-5-1919.

Recommends that the lease of the lands may be granted for a period of 15 years to Thimmana Bhatta in preference to the present lessee Manjappiah on an annual Gutta of Rs 125 offered by the Latter, and that the former may be asked to execute necessary repairs to the tanks and restore them to an efficient state within a period of 3 years —

—From, Manjappiah,

6 Petition (addressed to the Secretariat — 1 Memberes) Dy 6-6-19.

Prays that for reasons stated therein the lease may be continued to him.

"Dy. Members under on the petition! —

"To, Muz. Sect to be worked up."

18 The previous history of this case is given in the previous pages of the office note.

Dy Inam Survey nos. 183 and 184 of Bylanahalli endowed to Sri Gangadheshwara Devaru had been leased out for a period of 10 years from 1909-10 on an annual

Note.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3-18—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inw. File No. of 191

Serial No.

of Rs 360/ to manjappiah, and this lease comes to a close on 30-6-1919

20 the present lessee-manjappiah-put in a petition dated 19-6-1918 praying for an extension of the lease for a further period of 15 years on any reasonable jutta fixed by Govt, subject to the condition of improving the lands and the Tanks at an estimated cost of Rs 800/, and representing that the lands when they came to his possession in 1909, were in a neglected condition yielding an income of Rs 200/, that he has been spending 200 to 300 Rs for their improvement, and that he was not able to reap the fruits of his labour and the large outlay on the lands as the arecanuts yield their crops 12 years after their plantation etc.

2- this petition was sent to the Dy. Commr. for furnishing his opinion, with the direction that a notice may issue to Thimmanna Bhatta - Valisatdar - to state his objections. The Amildar inspected the lands in the presence of the contending parties, and drew up a mahazar on the present condition of the lands and recorded the statements of the contending parties.

J. K

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

(Paper wanted. Insp[illegible])
M

2. the Mahazan which is signed by both Thimmanna Bhatta and Manjappiah as well as Patel and certain other residents states that the lands are not in a very flourishing condition now the reasons being (1) discrepair of the 2 tanks (2) want of timely rains and (3) want of labor from South Canara due to influenza that the improvement of the lands has not been neglected by the Lessee, and that he has effected improvements to the extent possible (Vide D-c's letter dtd 19-4-1919). The Amildar reports that as estimated by the Tank Inspector, it requires Rs 1300 to repair the tanks, that Manjappiah has sufficient means and is in a position to maintain the garden in an efficient condition, that Thimmanna Bhatta is (ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅರ್ಚಕರು), and the chief source of income for effecting improvements etc, is one-half of the net-income (Rs 365/1) from the inam lands (which he receives as his pay for managing the temple), that the lands are not in a good condition owing to the breach of the tanks, and recommends that in the interests of the temple,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

Serial No.

(Letter no. 467 Rev Dy/19-4-19)
Paper incl.

may be given a further lease on the conditions offered by him. The Dy Commr thereupon recommended, on 19.4.19 to Govt that under the circumstances reported by the Amildar and in view of the previous mismanagement of temple affairs by Muniswamy Bhatta the land may be leased to Manjappia for a further period of 10 years from 1-7-1919 on the condition that he pays an annual rental of Rs 400/- and that he executes necessary repairs (at an estimated cost of Rs 1300/-) to the two tanks within a period of 2 years from the date of the lease failing which the lease was liable to be cancelled.

23. On 26.4.19, Muniswamy Bhatta presented a review petition to the Dy. Commr (thro. his Advocate) complaining that the Amildar's investigation was incomplete, that he was not kept informed of the terms offered by lessee Manjappia ~~of the lease~~, that the temple was founded and endowed by his ancestors, that he has interest in its upkeep and praying that the properties may be restored to him.

24. On 29-4-1919, the Dy Commr requested the return of the file to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

Papers sent

The records having been re-transmitted to him, he has submitted a lengthy report now recommending the case to Shanmuna Bhatta vishad of Co manjappa for 15 years on the annual rent of Rs 600/- subject to his condition of repairing the tanks within a period of 3 years as an inducement to ~~minimum Bhatta~~ and suggests that the case may be granted subject to the condition that it will be renewed on the same terms for a further period of 15 years if at the termination of the present lease, the garden is found in an efficient condition (vide para 21 of the present report)

I

B. Manjappiah has petitioned the members and the Secretary praying that for reasons summarized in the last para of the petition, an extension of lease may as prayed for be granted to him - The petition goes exhaustively into the facts of the case.

(Papers under ref. to Dy. Comm.)

26. The Dy. Comm. has now reported that he inspected the lands on 18-5-1919 and found that the garden was in a neglected condition, that the garden is very much inferior to that owned

NOTE—Conta. sheet.

by Subrahmanya Bhatta, that garden
require always personal supervision
but Manjappiah is living at Mogara
-valli which is about 24 miles from
Begavalli where the garden is situated.

17- As regards the Dy Commrs. inspection
of the lands on 18-5-19 and the present
condition of the lands, the Lessee complains
that the Dy. Commrs. inspection was
behind his back and he was not informed
of it, that his opponent - Shivarama
Bhatta.- was present at the time with
some of his friends, that it is usual
in Malnad to parcel out the garden
lands into 3 portions and bring each
under full cultivation once in 3 yrs
(The Manager supports it)
that only that part of the garden which has
to be cultivated with manure this year
is shown to the Dy. Commr by his opponents
that the Taluk Amildar after inspection,
has drawn up a Panchayat Mahazar
about the present condition of the lands, that
the lands were in a highly neglected
condition when they came to his posses
sion in 1908- A perusal of the Dy Comm
letter Dy 29-4-15 is solicited wherein
improvements to be effected by the Lessee &

Petition to Dy. Comr on 24-5-19
Petition to Govt on 6-6-19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | W. File No. of 191 C.

Serial No.

The lands at a cost not exceeding Rs 700/- are enumerated.

2. As regards the observation that the temple garden is very much inferior to that owned by Subrahmanya Bhatta, the lessee explains that the latter's garden has been under best cultivation for the past 20 or 25 years and are looked upon as the best lands in those parts, that his opponent has shown these lands as a comparison to the Dy. Commr. but begs that the temple garden may be compared with any other lands in the locality, including his own, which has already been done by the Taluk Amildar.

3. As regards the observation that the lessee is a resident of Megaravalli and cannot have personal supervision of the garden, the lessee says that he is a land-holder paying a revenue of Rs 800/- to Govt, and that he owns lands in Begavalli Village (where the temple garden is situated) on which he pays a kandaya of Rs 200/- to Govt.-

4. The Dy. Commr reports that as the temple to which the lands belong was founded and the [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

ie question were endowed to it by Thimmanna Bhatta's ancestors, he is likely to ---- take better care of the garden and lands than a Stranger.

31 As regards the interest evinced in the past by Thimmanna Bhatta, in the temple lands, the following facts are submitted.

A or W

32 It is reported that once with a fraudulent intention Thimmanna Bhatta tried to secure temple land (S.no. 183) to his minor son viz a Rev. Sale for arrears due on the land brought about by the intentional neglect of Thimmanna Bhatta himself in whose name the khata of the land had been made out by means of a similar fraudulent transaction. The fraud was detected in 1899 by the Asst Commr who ordered the khata being re-entered in the name of the deity. He was deprived of the temple Valivat but subsequently restored by the Dy. Commr

A or W

33 Again in 1908, it was reported that he had permanently ~~leased~~ leased out on a small rent which he appropriated to himself, another temple land S.no. 184, that S.no 183 was mortgaged as security for a private

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

debt contracted by him, and that both the temple land had been attached by the Munsiff of Shimoga in execution of a decree for the realization of his private debts. On the Dy. Comm's. recommendation, both the temple and its lands were taken under Govt. management, and the Vahivatdar — Nimmanna Bhatta — was for a second time removed, but was restored by Govt. on certain conditions and appointed as the fourth manager of the temple on Rs-9-6-1-0 being one-half of the net surplus income.

3rd. Govt. have already decided that the contention of Nimmanna Bhatta that he has a beneficiary interest in the temple property is futile, though he has particular interest in the management of the temple.

5th It is reported by the Dy. Comm^r. that Nimmanna Bhatta has liability to the extent of ~~Rs~~ 9000/- and it is a point for consideration whether he can be expected upon to make regular payment of Govt. and keep the lands of the temple

R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 51 of 191

Serial No.

in an
efficient condition if the lands
are ~~more~~ restored to him.
The Dy. Comm's. proposal to
make a promise of an extension
of lease for another 15 years, does
not seem to be profitable to the
Govt., as it fixes the rent
at Rs 400/- for 30 years to come.
After the restoration of the lands,
the lands may perhaps fetch
even double or treble the proposed future
amount of Rs 400/-
Submitted for orders.

AD
21/23.6.19

SD
23/6

3/ The facts of the case
are summarised in the
note. In the question is raised
at Sl. 2 from the printed paragraphs :-
letter. There is no change from
the case when it was last
It is difficult to see
with what case to write locally
Can be depended upon to give
the farmers any relief in the
Govt. or not.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Feb/15 -

he was in doubt about his heirship
of the gardens owing to the repeated
applications of Rheimarees Khalla
15 Jul. Actually in the Q.O dated
31st March 1915 the Rheimarees was ini-
tiated to cancel the lease and rele-
ase the gardens to Rheimarees Khalla
Under these circumstances it is not
to expected that the present lessee is
here best for the all his efforts for
improve the lands. With the lease of
seconds that a fresh lease would
give him, it is very likely he will
put forth his best efforts and im-
prove it.

35. On the other hand Rheimarees
Khalla has had help a harmless to the
the gardens and receiving rent from
the lessee tenants. Vide Q.O No
2102 - Mus. 7 Tech - 11-6 dt 5-6-13.
& Q.O No 2102-3 - Mus 6, 7-13
dt 31-3-1914. No doubt Rheimarees
Khalla is not a reliable character and
he is however provided in selecting some
probably as this position is of a
trusted to make substantial improve
ment but the fact remains that

11/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 11 of 191

Serial No.

have recognised that the temple is not
its own and owned ever since then by the
his family and he has a special interest
in the maintenance of the temple as it
[illegible] of the [illegible] 11 7-11.
Even if there should be some neglect he
is not often happens here &c.

2140
25-6-19

(It is [illegible])

The D.J. Commissioner seems to
seem to be somewhat [illegible]
d is inconsistent with his [illegible]
opinion.

We must consider the
the temple above everything else
having regard to the [illegible]
entered into, there are no specific
[illegible] to called in question.
[illegible]
the lease [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 191 8

Serial No.

for a further term of ten years on the conditions set forth in the earlier letter of the Secretary

14-7-19

Draft

9/7/19

10/7/19

Submitted with a draft order.

14/7/19 14.7.19

Draft order is put up for favourable approval as the matter is an important one and is now brought up again for reconsideration if Thirumalai Bhatta is true to his unfortunate nature

417/25.7.19

Sd/- Madhavan

14.7.19

Draft is approved

16/7/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

28/7/19

. R. S. III-2½

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 of 1918.

Serial No.	
7	To by. Commr. Shimoga Dist No. 433 Dy. 4 8. 1919. Orders the continuance for a further period of 10 yrs from 1st July 1919. of the lease of the lands to Manjappiah
8	No. 434 Dy. 4.8.1919. To, Manjappiah - Informs him of the above
9.	No. 435 Dy. 4. 8. 1919. To, Thimmamma Hatta Informs the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



By post
Mercara
3/7/19.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF

40

MYSORE, AT BANGALORE.

Petition No. of 17-18

,8 19
Mercara

Sir,

I, the undersigned most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines for your kind and benevolent consideration.

I beg to submit that the Survey Nos.183 & 184 of Begavally, Thirthahalli Taluk are the Inam Lands belonging to the Temple of Sree Gangavisveswara Devaru. The lands were in possession and enjoyment of Vaivatdar Thimmapoiah. Owing to his mismanagement the affairs of the temple were very much neglected and the temple lands were mortgaged by him. The Government then wrested the lands from his hands as also the management of the temple.

I further beg to add that as the Government found it most inconvenient to lease out the lands year by year, they leased them out for a period of 10 years from 1909-10. (Vide G.O.No.19-4/113-08-2 dated 10-11-1908). The lands in the then condition were not yielding an annual income of even Rs.200/- but I took POSSESSION of the lands and agreed to pay almost double the sum viz, Rs.360/- per annum and also gave a security of my lands for the proper up-keep of the lands. In addition to the lease amount I have been regularly spending, year after year a sum between Rs.200 and Rs.300/- for the improvement of the said lands. I have so far succeeded in my attempt and improved the lands to the best satisfaction of the Amildar and higher authorities.

I may be permitted to add that the lease term is now drawing to a close and grieves me most to reflect that I was not so far able to reap the fruits of my labour and the large outlay on the Inam lands. The arecanuts yield their crops 10 years after their plantation. I believe and fully trust that the benevolent Government will not deprive me of the enjoyment of the fruits of my

hard labour and will not also drive me to sustain a very heavy loss by terminating the period of the lease, but will extend it for a further period of 15 years.

I beg to submit that the lands and also the tank are in need of further improvements. The probable estimate of these will come up to Rs.800/-. If the Government in consideration of my humble representations above are - pleased to extend the said lease for a further period of 15 years, I shall undertake to get these improvements executed at my own cost. Further if need be, I am also prepared to furnish a further security for the better up-keep of the lands. I am a Land holder paying an assessment of Rs.800/- to the Government annually in this taluk. I am also prepared to pay any reasonable sum the Government choose to fix in excess of what I am now paying.

I respectfully beg to submit the benign Government will bestow a merciful consideration on my humble request and graciously sanction the lease in terms prayed for.

For which act of generosity and benevolence, I shall ever feel grateful.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

14.6.18



ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1917— 18 ನೆ ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಆಪೋನೆಂಟ್.

Ramavathi Nanjappiah

VS.

ಮ॥ ರಾ॥.....ಯವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪ್ಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕುಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸುಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೊ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 9.6.15

ರುಜು.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿಧೇನೆ.

☞ ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ೨೬೬ ನೆ.ನಂಬರು ಷಾಪ್‌ಕೀಪರ್ (ಹೆಚ್. ಬಿ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣ ಶೆಟ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ) ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತೆ.

V, Sangha Press.

194

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Calls for the Dy. Comrs. Journal in the matter of the Annulment of the lease of the inam Land endowed to Begwalli Sangaviswaswara Devasthanam

Diary No. of letter replied to .. 40

Section Inam

File No. 11—18

Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(.)

, the 191 .

No. 556/Genl

Date of issue 16:8:1918

To

The Dy Commissioner,

Shimoga Dist

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of Govt Proc. no. 2172-3/Inam. 65+13-14 Dy. 17th March 1916 observing that there was no sufficient reason for the cancellation of the case of the inam land endowed to Begwalli Ganga Viswaswara Devaru in the Nittahalli Taluk, to Manjappial

over.

9/178

which should not be disturbed
during the currency of its
period, I am directed to
forward herewith petition recd.
No. 40 of 19th June 1918 from
the present lessee Manjappah
stating that as the arecanuts trees
yield their crops 12 years after
~~their~~ planting ~~thereof~~, he was not
so far able to reap the fruits of
his labour ~~and the large outlay~~
~~on the said lands~~, and praying
for the continuance of the lease
to him for a further period of 15 years on any
reasonable ~~enhanced~~ rental ~~which may be enhanced~~
and to request that you will ~~if deemed necessary~~.
be so good as to furnish List of enclosures.
this office with your opinion
in the matter of the ~~re~~ Renewal
of the lease as prayed for by
the petr. I am to add
that a notice may also
be issued to the Vakils of

Copied by

Examined by

W D 66—GP 15—60,000—15 10 16

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

to state his objections to the
and his representations, if any,
~~General~~
~~Circular~~
duly considered while reporting
~~delegated~~
on the petn. I have the
honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
humble servant,
for joint Secretary,
Revenue Department.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

D.40
19.6.18

B—o.

Dbz

Joint Secty,
Rev. Dept.

1918-19

From
T. E. Jayarama Iyer Esqrs
B.A.B.L.
Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
G. Aravamudu Iyengar Esq
B.A.B.L.
Joint Secretary to Govt.
Mungrai Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received 19th April 1919.

General No. Mc. 467 (Rev) 16-17
No.

Enclosure No.

C14x2

GENERAL BRANCH
2 APR 1919
1900
1879
SECRETARIAT
FILE No.
SERIAL No. 52

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No: 556/Mung 11-8-2 dated 16th August 1918 forwarding for my opinion the petition of Manjappaiya the present lessee of the inam lands endowed to Bogavathi ~ Gangavisweswara Devaru in the Tirthahalli Taluk praying that the term of his lease may be extended by 15 years, I have the honour to state as follows:—

2. The Amildar

Tirthahalli Taluk issued notices to the Vahivatdar Timmappaiya and the present lessee Manjappaiya, made enquiries and recorded their Statements in the matter on 31st January 1919.

3. The Vahivatdar Timmappaiah Stated before the Amildar on 31st January 1919 that he would improve the garden lands in question and repair at his own Cost the two tanks Situated above the garden lands, provided the lands are restored to his possession.

4 The present lessee (manjappaiah) Stated before the Amildar on ~~31st~~ 4th Feby. January 1919 that the term of his lease would terminate at the close of the current Official year, that he had Spent a large Sum of money to improve the lands and

and that he had not yet derived any benefit from the areca garden which begins to bear only after 12 years and he prayed that the term of his lease might be extended for a further period of 15 years on the condition of his paying As 40/- in addition to the present annual rent of As 360/- to the institution and repairing at his own cost, the two tanks situated above the garden lands, which would cost about As 1300/- as reported by the Amildar.

5. The Amildar inspected the lands in the company of the lessee, Vahivatdar and some of the villagers on 31st January 1969 and drew up a Mahazar as to

the condition of the lands after they came under the management of the present lessee.

The Mahazar[x] states that (a) the lands are not in a very flourishing condition now, the reasons for this State of things being (1) the disrepair of the two tanks above the lands (2). the want of timely rains and (3) the want of labour from South Canara due to Influenza, and that the improvement of the lands has not been neglected by the present lessee (Manjappaiah) (b) that he has effected improvements to the extent possible.

The Amildar recommends

his report[x] no: 188 dated 11th March

March 1919, that the lands might be leased to Manjappaiah for a further period and adds that while Manjappaiah is in a position to maintain the garden lands in an efficient condition, Dakshatdar Timmappaiya is not.

7. Under the above circumstances, and in a view of the previous mis-management of the temple affairs by Timmappaiya, I would recommend that the lands may be leased to Manjappaiya for a further period of 10 years from 18th July 1919 on the conditions that (1) he pays an annual

annual rental of Rs 400/- (2)
he executes all necessary repairs
within a period of two years from
18th July 1919 to the two tanks
referred to above, and that if
he fails to do so the lease is
liable to be cancelled, the Deputy
Commissioner's decision as to whether
the tanks have been properly repaired
being final.

8. The Vernacular correspon
ending with Tirthahalli Amildar's
report No: 198 dated the 11th March
is forwarded.

The petition is returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Illegible

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

1908

ಮಾನ್ಯ

ಮೈಸೂರ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರು ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಂಜಮ್ಮಣಿಯವರ

ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ —

ತಾಲೂಕು ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಪೇಟೆ ಮೇಜರ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಹೋಬಳಿ

ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಪೇಟೆ ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಕಾಮಾ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು ಕೊಂಡಕೊಟ್ಟ —

ಕಾ 23-4-1919

ನಾನು ನಿಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ

Secy

ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಪೇಟೆ ಬೀಗವಳ್ಳಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಡ ಎಳ್ಳೆ ಕ್ಷೌರದ ಮಹಾಜನದ ಜಮೀನಿನ

Bangl. Com.
25.4.19

ಕಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಷರತ್ತು ಮೇಲೆ ಪರ್ಷಾರದಿಂದ 10 ವರುಷಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಸುವಳಿಗೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಅದನ್ನು ಶಂಕರಜಯಿಗೆ ಕಾಸುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಪಾಲಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಿಸ್ತಾನರ್ ರವರಿಗೆ ಪಾಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಕಾಸುವಳಿ ಅವಧಿಯು ಇದೇ ಜೂನ್ ಅಖೈರಿಗೆ ಮುಗಿಯುತ್ತದೆ.

ಈ ಮಹಾಜನದ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಸೊಪ್ಪಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಪಾಪಿಷ್ಠ ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರು ಮಹಾಜನರನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರರು ಮಹಾಜನರ ಕಂಪಾಯಿಂಟಿಗೆ ಕಿವಿಗೊಡದೆ ಮಹಾಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ 90-0-0 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರು ಮಹಾಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ತಾವೇ ಮಹಾಜನರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಹಾಜನದ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯು ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ನಿಂತಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರು ಮಹಾಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ತಾವೇ ಮಹಾಜನರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾಗಿ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರರಿಗೆ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರರು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರು ಮಹಾಜನರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿ ಮಹಾಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರು ಮಹಾಜನರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಹಾಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪರ್ಷಾರರವರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. —

ಈ ನಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟ್ರಿ ಬಸ್ಸಿಗೆ 90-00 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಲಂಚವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು
ದಲ್ಲಾಳಿಗೆ ನನ್ನಷ್ಟಂಶ ಪಿಟಾಮಿರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಾಳಕ್ಕಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ನೆಲ್ಲಂಡ
ಕ್ಕೋಸಕ್ಕೆ ಖ್ರೀಮು ಡಿ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ - ನಾನು ಜಮೀನ್ ಲಾ
ಬಾದು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ನಾಲಿಗಾಲು ಮಿಸ್ಕೆ ಪಾವಶಿಮಾತ್ರ ಪುಡ
ನಡುರಂಕಾರ್ ನಿಂದಲೂ ವಸುಕ್ಕಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ —

ಜಮೀನ್ ನಾನುವಳಿ ಅವಧಿ ಯಿದ್ದು 1919ನೇ ಜೂನ್
ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾನೆ ವಲ್ಲಾಂಯ್ತು ಕ್ಕೆಂಯೂ ದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮುನ ಹ 15 ವರುಷ
ಅವಧಿ ಯಿಂದ ಹಾನುವಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಿದಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನ ಶಾ 19-6-18ರ
ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಗು ತೆಪ್ಪಿಕ ಎಷ್ಟನರಶವರಿಯೂ ಲಜ್ಜೂಬರ ದುಂಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆ
ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿದುವರಿಗು ಅಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಲಂಚಗಲಲ್ಲಾ ಈದೋವರಜಮೀನ್ ಗ
ಳುಬಾನಾಂಯ್ತು ಬಹುಳವಾಗಿರುಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿದುಬಹುಳ ಅರುಪವನಿಕ್ಕೆ
ಎಂದನ್ನಷ್ಟಂಶ ಲಾಸವಾಗಿನಂನ ಲಿಬಾನಿಶೆಂದಿರುಲ್ಲನೆ. ಯಿ
ನ್ನು ಲಿ ಬಾಜಿ ಮೂಡ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನಿರುಕ್ಕೆ ಯೀಜಮೀನ್ ಮೇಲರಶಕ್ಕೆ
ಕೆರೆ ನಾಮುರಷ್ಟು ಯಿದ್ದಾದರಿಂದ ಜಮೀನ್ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಕೆ ಲಬಾಜಿ ಪ
ತಿಸಲು ಯಿದೆಯೊಂದು ದೊಕ್ಕಿಕೆ ಗಿರನೆ ಲಾಸಕಾಯ್ತೆ. ಲಲ್ಲದೊಲಶ
ಕ್ಕೋನಿತನಗಳು ನೆಟ್ಟಿ 10-12 ವರ್ಷದ ನಂತ್ರ, ಫಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತೆ. ಮೆ
ಯಂಯಿದು ಚಿತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇದ್ಯವೇ ಯಿರುಸ್ಕೆ ಹಾಲ ನಾವು ಲಿ ಬಾಂದು
ಮಾಡಿಬೇಳ್ಳಿ ಕ್ಷೀರಶಕ್ಕೆ ಮರಗಳು ಯಿನ್ನು 2-3 ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿಷ್ಟಲ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಶಕ್ಕೆ ವುಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಯಿದುವಂಸೆನಾನುಷ್ಟಂಶಲಾಸವಾ ತಿ
ನಾಂದ ಜಮೀನ್ ಲಿ ಬಾಜಿಪತಿದಿ ನಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಲ ಂಪ —
ನ 60 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಹಾವಶಿಮಾತಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲಶೆಂಬಿನಹಾ —
ಯೂ ವಷ್ಟಾಯಿದೆಯನ್ನಳ್ಳೊಂದಿರಲವುದಿಲ್ಲಾ ಯಿದನ್ನು ಸಾ
ವಂದರವರು ಪರ್ಕಾ ಲೆಂಜಿಕಿನಿ ನನ್ನಕೊಂದರೆ ನಳನ್ನಷ್ಟ
ಪ್ಪನ ವಾದಚಿತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಶಂದು ಮುನಹ 15 ವರುಷ ನ್ಯಾಲ
ವಳಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರಿ ಆರ್ಡರು ಆದಬೇಕು ——

ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹಿರಿಯರಿಗೂ.

ಈಕೆಕೆಕ ಮಗರಿಬಸ್ಸೆ | ನಾವಿರಶುವಾ ನಂಬಾಲೂರು ಅಪ್ಪ
ಮೆಂಬಲಸು ಚೀಕಾನಿಕಾಲೆಂಸ್ಲಾಕ್ ಅಮಲ್ ದಾಕರವರಾಲಿ ಜಿಮಾಯಿ
ಷಿಂಪಿಂದ ಅಂದಾಜಾಮೂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯೆಮೇರೆ ಅಯ್ಕೆ
ಕೆಕೆಕ ಮಗಂಬಾಬ್ಬು ಮೂರಾಮೆಂಬಲಸನ್ನು ನನ್ನಸ್ಟೂಂಕ ದಾಂದಮು
ಟ್ಟಿವಿ ಕೆಕೆ ದುರಸ್ತು ವಡಿಸಲು ವಪ್ಪಿಕೆಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ. ಈ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳ
ಅಂದಾನಾದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ 4 ಂರು ಮಿಸ್ರಿಕೊಡಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ.
ಳಿಕಾತ್ರಿಬಸ್ಸೆ ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬ್ಬು ನ್ಟೂಂಕಜಲಿರ್ಸ್ ಹಿಸ್ರೆಕೆಂಡಲು ಸರಂ
ಬಿಪ್ಪಿಕೆಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ. ಬಾಕಿವನ್ನು ಎಂಕೆಗನ್ನಕೆ ವಿಷಯವು
ಕ್ಲಾರ್ಡ್ ನೆಂಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕತ್ತೆ ಮೇದನ್ನ ವಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ನಾನು ಸಾಲ
ಯನ್ನು ಕಿಂಡಾಯಿನ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿಕೆಂಡಾಂಯಿಕೆಂಡಿಕೆಕ್ಕ
ಜಲಿರ್ಸ್ ದಾರನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ. ಇದು ವಂಸೂ ಯಿಂದವಪ್ರಕಾರ.
ಹೊಂದದೆಂಬಿಕ್ಸ್ನಲ ವಷ್ಟಪಿರುವುದಂಬಲು ಜಲಿರ್ಸ್ ಮೂರ್ಣ
ಲಾಬಾದು ವಡಿಸಲು ಮಿಕ್ಸ್ನಡಯಿರುವುದಂಬಲೂ. ಕೆಳಿಕೆಂ
ಡಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ. ನಾನು ವಳಿನ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಲಾದವರನೆ ಕೆಕೆಕ್ಕಾಂ
ಗಾಂ ಮೂಡಿವಿ ವಾಯಿದೆಂಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಲಿರ್ಸ್ ಮೂರ್ಣ ಲಾಬಾದಿನೆ
ಶಂದು ಸಾಲಂಯಾಯಿನ್ನೆ ಪಾವ್ತಿವಾ... ಬಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ. ಈ
ಬಸ್ಸೆ ಲಾಯಿಖ ಹಿಸ್ರೆಕೆಂಡಲು ಬಿದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ

ಪೂರ್ವದವಧಿವಾಟು ಪಾರಶಿವಪ್ಪೆಯಂಬ್ಲು
ಶಮನೆದಾಸವಳಿನೆ ಕೆಂಡಿಸಿಕೆಂಡಬೀಕಾನಿಕೆಳಿಕೆಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆ
ಕಾಂಶವರ್ಣಮನ ಕೆಳಿರುತ್ತೆನೆ.- ಯಿವರಂಗೆನ್ಟೂಂಜಮ
ನೆಜಲಿರ್ಸ್ನಡಯಂಬ್ಲುನಾಯಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ 1-2 ವರ್ಷ
ದರಿಂದೆನಳಿಕಾರದಿಂದಕೆಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ
ಹಣವನ್ನೆ ಜೀವನಕ್ಕೆವುಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೆಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆ ಅಂತಾ ನಾವು
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಜಾವಿನಿಯೆಗನ ನಾಂಕ ಚೀವರಕಂಪಾಯದ ಬಸ್ಸೆ
ಚರಜಪ್ತಿವನ್ನೆರಿ ಕ್ರಮವನಕಬಿಳುವುದು. ಇಕರು ಹಾಬೆದೀವ
ನೆಥನ ಮೂರ್ನಾದುರಸ್ತು ಪಡಿಸಿ ಜಲಿರ್ಸ್ ಪರಭಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿರು
ವಅನೇಕವಿಷಯಂಬಗ್ಗರನಡಿಸ್ಟ ಬಾಬ್ಬು ರಿಕಾಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲು

ದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆಗೆ ಮೊದಲು ವಾಸಿಯಾಗುವುದು

ನಾನು 10 ವರುಷ ದಿಂದಲೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಹೊರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ
ದಾ ಹೋದಲೆಬರುವ ಲಕ್ಷಾಂತರ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾ. ಅಲ್ಲಾದಲ್ಲಾ
ವಾ ತಿನಿಂದ ಕೆರೆದುರಸ್ತು ಮೂತಿನಿ ನಾಲಿಂಗು ನಾ 400 ರೂ ಬಾಯಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿನ್ನೆ ಪಾತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರವು ದಕ್ಕಲ್ಲು ಈಬಸ್ಟಿನನ್ನ ನ್ಯಾಂಕ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಗ್ಗೆ
ಳನ್ನು ಖಾಕ್ರಿ ಕೆಂಗಲಿನದ ವಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳೆ. ಈನನ್ನ ಕಾರಣಗ
ಳನ್ನು ಷನ ವಾಚಿಕ್ಕೆಗೆ ಸಂಮು ಒತನೆ ಮಂಜೂರಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್
ಮಂತಿನನಗಂತಿಂಗಾತ್ಸದಂಯು ಪಾಲಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿಬೇಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳೆನೆ.

ಹಾಲಿಈಮಲೆನಾಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನು ಸಿಕಾಗಳುಬಳಿಗಾಗಿ
ಮಳೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವುದು ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆಗೆ ಮೊದಲು ಎಕರಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗ ಕೆಂಪುದಲ್ಲಿ
ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಸೆಂಪುಪ್ಪು ಸೆಂಬ್ಬರ ವಸೆಪ್ಪರೆಬೀಜಾಂಯನಕೊಯಿನಿಹಿಪ್ಪು
ಕಾಲ್ಟನದ ಮಳೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಬಳಿಗೆ ನಂಪಡಿಸಿಬಿತ್ತಿಕಾಸಿಲ್ಲ ಕೆ ಅಲ್ಲಾ
ದಾಕೆಕೆಸಿನೀರುಬಳುವುದಕೊಳ್ಳಾಗಿ ಮಟ್ಟಿಕ್ಕಂಗಾಂ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ
ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿದಕೆಳಗಿನ ಆಳುಗಳು 1-2 ಕಿಂ
ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವೂಯಿಕೆಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲಕ್ಕಾರೆ. ನಂಕ್ರಕೆಲಸನತಿಂಲಬಹುಳಕೆಂಪ್ರೆ
ಆಸುಕೆ. — ಈವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಲಿವ್ಯವ್ಯಾರವರನ್ನು ತೆಪ್ಪಿ
ಕವಿಷನಕೆ ಖಾಹೊ ಬರವರನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸಕೆಂತೆರಿಕಾಯುನಾಯುಳ್ಳ
ನೆ ಕೆಂಗಲು ಶಿಫ್ಟಾರನಿನಿಂದ ~~ಪಾಯುವಳ್ಳ~~ ನನ್ನ ಥಿನಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಿಗಿರುವ್ಯ
ದಾಗಿಂಯು ಆರ್ಡರುಸಿಗಿ ಷೀಳುಕಿಗಾಗುವ್ಯ ದಾಗಿಂಯು ಈನ ವಾಳ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಪ್ಪಣಿಕೆಲಿಂ ಆನಿದರು ಪ್ರಾಣ ಕಂಪ ಜನಾಬನೆ ಕವನಿಲಾಗಿ
ಲಂಕೆಮಂತಿಕೊಂ ತಿರಲ್ಲೆನೆ. ಜಾಪ್ರಕೆಂಕ ತಪ್ಪಿದರಂಬಂಧಿಕೆ
ಯಿಂದ ಆರ್ಡರುಆಗಿ ಆಬಸ್ಟಿನನಗೆ ಯಿಂತೆಂಕಲ್ಲಿ ಲಪ್ಪಣಿ
ಯಂಗು ನಬೇಕಾಗಿಬೇಡಿಕೊಂ ತಿರಲ್ಲೆನೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

1936
89
Mer
11-18
3.

6845 1908

From J. E. Jagaraman Iyer Esquire, B.A. B.L.
Offg. Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

To G. Aravamudu Iyengar Esquire B.A. B.L.
Joint Secretary to Govt: Revenue Department Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 29th April 1909

Received

General No. Mc. 467 Rev 16-17
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Petition

Sir.

In continuation of my letter No: Mc. 467 Rev 16-17 dated 16th instant regarding renewal of the lease of the lands belonging to Ganga Parameswari temple of Begavalli Village Tirthahalli Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a petition received from Thimmappa the Vahivatdar of the temple, stating that the

informed that Ganavalli Manjappa, the lessee has asked for a renewal of the lease on the conditions that he would pay an enhanced rent of Rs 400/- per annum and repair the temple tanks at a cost of about Rs 1200/- and that though some statement was given by him before the Amildar at the Taluk Gunabandy, he was not in a position to meet the representations of the said Manjappa as he was not aware that he had made such representations or that they were under enquiry.

As this matter has to be enquired into, I request that the record forwarded with my letter quoted above may kindly be returned and orders deferred till after I submit a fresh report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

[illegible]

Commissioner

For

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 12.5.19

Signed by Wm. G. A. Iyengar

Section Peer
File No. W- 108
Serial No. 4

No. 3515 Exe
Hebris & rec

To

The Dy Commr,
Shimoga Dist

Sir,

Adverting to your letters no. H.C 467 and dy 19th and 29th April 1919, I am directed to return herewith the entire vernacular records relating to the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Ganga Vishweshwara Devaru Temple at Begnichi in T.

over.

Virudhachalli Taluk, and to request that a final report in the matter may kindly be sent up so as to reach the office not later than the end of the month, i.e. 15th instant, to enable him to pass orders before the season.

(2) Petition no. 1908 from the lessee Manjappiah praying for early orders in the matter of the renewal of the lease on fasli, is herewith forwarded for ref. & re-submission.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
12.5.19
Secretary,
Mines Department.

8/5/19.

8.5.19

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

GEN
2 1910



From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To The Joint Secretary to the

Government of Mysore,

Muzarai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 27th May 1910.

Despatched

Received

General No. M.C.467(Rev)1910-11.

No.

Enclosure No. 1 Folio

Submits a report regarding the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Gangavisvesvara Devaru temple at Bogavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

NO.H.C.467(Rev)1916-17. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga, the 27th May 1919.

From

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Shimoga.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government,
Muzarai Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

In reply to your letter no. 3515/Muz.11-18-4 dated the 12th May 1919 regarding the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Gangavisveswara Devaru temple at Begavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk, I have the honor to submit the following report:-

2. The temple affairs were formerly managed and the lands in question cultivated by vahivatadar Tudur Thimmanna Bhatta but on the groundsx that his management was not efficient and that he had mortgaged and permanantly leased out for inadequate amounts, the temple lands he was removed from the managership and the lands were leased to Ganavalli Manjappa for ten years for an annual rent of Rs360/-(vide Government Order NO.191-4/Muz.F.113-08-2 dated 10th November 1908) and this period expires at the end of the current official year. Thimmanna Bhatta presented several petitions to this Office and to Government praying that he might be reinstated as manager

and Government in their Order no. 84/Muz.497-08-9 dated 11th July 1911 directed that as the temple was founded and the lands in question had been gifted to this institution by his ancestors and as he had agreed to manage the affairs thereof properly, he might be reinstated as manager.

3. Then he applied for the cancellation of the lease and the restoration of the lands to him, but Government stated that as the lessee did not agree to give up possession, Thimmanna Bhatta should content himself with collecting the rent reserved(See Order no. 334/Muz.F.404-11- dated 23rd August 1912). In the same order he was also appointed manager of the institution on a remuneration of half the surplus amount which came to R65/- per annum (the net surplus being R130/- per annum out of the rent of

fter incurring the charges required for wor-

in the temple, payment of Government dues and other charges.

n further representations made by Thimmanna Bhatta, Go ment directed in accordance with the recommendations of e Deputy Commissioner that the lease might be cancelled as the garden had been neglected by the lessee, that the garden might be taken possession of after issuing a notice to Nanjappaiah cancelling the lease and that the lands might be leased to Thimmanna Bhatta for period of 15 years but on application for review presented by the lessee the Deputy Commissioner recommended that the garden might be allowed to remain in the possession of the lessee during the currency of the lease and the Government approved of it(vide order no. 2172-3/Muz.651-13-14 dated 17th March 1916)

5. Now that the period of lease is about to expire, Thimmanna Bhatta prays that the lands may be restored to him while the lessee requests that the lease in his favour may be renewed for a further period of 15 years and he expresses

his willingness to pay Rs400/- per annum as rent in lieu of Rs360/- and to improve the temple tanks at a cost of Rs1300/-

6. As the temple to which the lands belong was founded and the lands in question were endowed to it by Thimmappaiah's ancestors, he is likely to have a greater interest in the affairs of the temple and take better care of the garden and lands than a stranger. Other considerations being equal, the garden may be leased to him instead of to a stranger.

7. The garden has been in the possession of the lessee for the last ten years but it has not been maintained well. I inspected it on the 18th May 1919 when I found that it had not been taken as much care as was necessary.

8. There are two tanks but they are in a neglected condition. The inner slope of the bund of one of them is covered with dense rank vegetation. No annual maintenance work has been done. The bund has been cut above the sluice and no attempt has been made to fill up this breach. The bund of the other tank has been unnecessarily cut open above the sluice.

9. There ought to be betel leaf creepers, or cardomoms or popper vines or agatha soppu in every garden, but there are none such in this garden.

10. In every good garden there are what are called ("anes" or trenches containing water which helps in the retension of moisture in the dairy garden but the "ane" in this garden was filled with fallen leaves and there was no sign of water having flowed in it recently. The distributing channel running in the garden has been badly neglected and is full of leaves etc.

11. Every year young areca plants ought to be planted in every garden to take up the places of those that may die

on account of old age or other causes, but fresh plants have not been planted in the garden every year during the past ten years. ~~Three~~ There are some young plants which appear to be two or three years old but even they are not in a good condition.

12. Threre are some cocoanut trees in the garden but they are in a miserable condition.

13. Gardens require always personal supervision, but Manjappaiah is living at Negaravalli which is about 24 miles from Negavalli where the garden is situated.

14. Even Tenginakere Subramanya Bhatta who is a ~~bitter~~ bitter enemy of Thimmanna Bhatta though he is his sister's son stated before me that the garden was in a better condition when Thimmanna Bhatta was formerly in possession of it i.e. before he was disposed of it, than it has been under Manjappa's supervision.

15. The garden in question is very much inferior in condition to that owned by Subramanya Bhatta and there can be no comparison between the two.

16. Manjappaiah pleads that he was not able to make substantial improvements to the garden as he was always in fear of being dispossessed of it as a result of the petitions constantly sent by Thirmanna Bhatta, but even in future the same state of things is likely to continue, perhaps to a greater degree, if the lease is renewed. Thimmanna Bhatta flooded the authorities with petitions praying for the cancellation of the lease granted in 1000 even during its currency is not likely to keep quiet ~~him~~ if a renewed lease is granted to anjappaiah. And if as a result of the presentation of petition the latter did not find his ways to make substantial improvement, it is not likely he will effect them even in futre.

17. Another argument by Manjappaiah is that as the lease granted to him was for a short period of ten years, he has not been able to derive full benifit, but if the period was too short, he ought to have stipulated for a longer period when he took up the lease in 1908. Having obtained the lease for ten years, he cannot complain that the period is not sufficiently long. If he did not derive sufficient benifit from the garden, it was because he did not pay proper attention to it. But I do not believe that he did not get sufficient benifit, for in that event he would not have offered to raise the rent from Rs.200/- to Rs.400/- and also to spend about Rs.1000/- on the improvement of the tanks. I believe that the garden even in its present neglected condition must have brought him some profit.

18. On the whole, I am of opinion that it will be better to lease the garden to Thimmanna Bhatta instead of Manjappaiah who has no claim legal or equitable to obtain a renewal of the lease.

19. The lease may be granted to Thimmanna Bhatta for 15 years and the rent fixed at Rs.400/- per annum, which is the amount offered by Manjappaih.

20. Thimmanna Bhatta requests that the rent now to be fixed at Rs.260/- only per annum in consideration of the fact that he improved the garden before 1926(vide Government Order no. 2108-Rev./26-1-3 dated 7th March 1928) but this is not a good ground for leasing the lands at a favourable rate of rent to him. If this argument is pushed further, the lands may have to be given to him for no rent whatsoever for they originally belonged to his family and were given by the members thereof to the temple. I do not think Rs.400/- per annum is unreasonable. This sum may be fixed use of as follows:-

This sum may be made use of as follows:-

(a) Temple expenses	₹170- 4-0
(b) To Thimmanna Bhatta for vahivat.	114-14-0
(c) Savings to be credited towards the funds of the institution	114-14-0
Total	400-0-0

21. Thimmanna Bhatta should also be called upon to execute necessary repairs to the tanks and restore them to an efficient condition within a period of three years. Should Thimmanna Bhatta fail to execute satisfactory repairs, the lease should be cancelled. He should also be called upon to pay ₹114-14-0 per annum, (the sum that has to be credited towards the funds of the institution) on or before the 30th June of every year commencing from 31st June 1920. He should clearly be given to understand that the payment of rent should on no account be withheld or delayed by him on the ground that he believes that he has a claim against Government for a portion of the rent recovered from Nanjappaiah or for other reasons or that he has other grievances and that if on any account he should make default in payment on or before the due date, the lease is liable to be cancelled. The lease may be granted subject to the condition that it will be renewed on the same terms for a further period of fifteen years if at the termination of the present lease, the garden is found to be maintained in an efficient condition. This will offer an inducement to him to improve the garden. Subject to these conditions a a lease of the lands and garden may be granted to Thimmanna Bhatta.

22. The report sent with this Office No.R.C.407(new) 1916-17 dated 16/19th April 1919 may be deemed to have been withdrawn.

23. The record bearing on the subject together with

petition of Manjappaia received with your letter under reference is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

Hs.



1898/9 6 19

Chandrasekharaiyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
First Member of the Council of the
Government of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE

I, the undersigned most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines for your kind and benevolent consideration.

Inam S.Nos.183 & 184 of Begavalli Village, Tirthahalli Taluk belonging to Sri Ganga Visveswara Devaru Temple were leased out by open competition, under G.O.No.191-4/Muz.113.08-8 dated 10th November 1908 for a period of 10 years and were knocked down to me on an annual gutta of Rs.360/-. As these lands were very much neglected, as the tank which irrigates these lands was in dis-repair, I had to lay out much money and labour on the gardens.

This lease expires on 30th June 1919. As the Areca-nut gardens yield their crops 12 to 15 years after their plantation in Malnad and as I have not been thus far able to reap the fruits of my labour, I put in an application to Government praying for my continuance for a further period of 15 years subject to my payment of an enhanced gutta of Rs.480/- per annum and to repair the tank at an estimated cost of Rs.1,000 or Rs.1,200/- and to otherwise bring the lands into sound condition and added that I would furnish my lands as surety for prompt payment of gutta. This petition was sent to Local authorities and as ordered by Government, the Taluk Amildar inspected the lands in the presence of Panchayatdars myself and opponent Thimmanna Bhatta, drew up a mahazar about the condition of the lands, took down our statements and sent up the records to the Deputy Commissioner and the Collector

on a consideration of the merits of the case recommended recently to Government that these lands be continued to me for a further period subject to the conditions, re. gutta, repair to tank at my cost &c., as already agreed to by me.

A week after, on further representation by the late Manager Thimmanna Bhatta, the Deputy Commissioner got the records back from Government and seems to have paid a hurried visit to the place with Thimmanna Bhatta, and with a few of his friends showed only such portions of the garden as were reserved for this year's cultivation. As soon as I was informed of this matter, I went to Shimoga and presented an application on 23_6_19 to the Deputy Commissioner pointing out the false representations made by Thimmanna Bhatta. It seems the Deputy Commissioner has now altered his previous recommendation and reported that as the lands are not in a very good condition they may be leased to Thimmanna Bhatta.

As regards the condition of these lands in 1909, when they came to my possession a perusal of letter No. 123_21 dated 31_8_08 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, read in G. O. No. 191_4/Muz. 113_08_2 of 10_11_08 is solicited. It will be seen that the Manager Thimmanna Bhatta alienated a portion of the temple lands through Mulgeni of Rs. 90/- to his relative, mortgaged some portion of the lands and brought the remaining lands for Revenue Sale with the fraudulent intention of securing them to his son. For these and other reasons, the temple lands were taken under Government management and leased out to me in the Government auction sale.

It may not be out of place to bring to the notice of the Government that the Manager Thimmannabahatta misappropriated the Gutta amount of Rs. 360/- which he received even without paying jodi and carrying out worship according to the fixed scale. The complainant reached the then Deputy Commissioner

[illegible], who ordered sale of the properties of the Manager and Rs.18/- were realised. As this was ridiculously small amount, the Deputy Commissioner directed that the temple amount should be recouped from the amounts to be paid to the Manager in subsequent - years, and Gutta amount should thereafter be credited to the Treasury and not paid into the hands of the Manager. He has reported to Government that the man has no property to live upon, that he is untrustworthy and should be removed from the Temple Vahivat.

The records will show in what condition these lands were before they came under Government management in 1909, and what the yield then was and what it is now. As the feeder tank is out of repair owing to the Manager's neglect all the gardens could not be speedily brought into a flourishing condition. Taking advantage of my absence from the place, the Manager Thimmanna Bhatta took the Deputy Commissioner to the spot and there showed him only such - portion of the garden as was reserved for this year's (Dyasaya) cultivation. It is usual in Malnad to parcel out the garden lands into three portions and bring each under full cultivation once in every 3 years. It is submitted that the portion shown to the Deputy Commissioner is that part of the garden which had to be cultivated with manure, leaves &c., this year. As Government are aware of there has been complete failure of rains in Malnad this year. For these reasons the lands could have presented a decent appearance. As a comparison, the lands of Mr. Subramanya Bhatta which have been under best cultivation on scientic principles for the past 20 or 25 years and are looked upon as the best lands in those parts were - shown to the Deputy Commissioner thereby creating an impression that the temple lands which were unfit for cultivation in 1909 are not on a par with the lands of Mr. Subramanya Bhatta. It is submitted that the temple lands may be compared with my own lands in the locality including my own lands, and this has already been done by the Taluk Amildar. With the exception of my opponent Thimmanna Bhatta none else have ever complained against this [illegible]

As I have invested heavy amounts and labour for the better cultivation of these lands which had been spoiled by thimmanna Bhatta, as the lands are without proper water supply and yield, and yield 12 to 15 years after plantation, as I have not been able to reap the fruits of my labour through I have been paying Gutta of Rs.360/-, as a devotee of the temple, as it is my desire to bring the lands into best condition, so that the temple may derive the highest benefit from them, as Thimmanna - Bhatta has been the main cause of the deterioration of the lands and if the lands are once again entrusted to him who is penniless the lands will surely go to ruin, thereby not only my trouble - and money are mere waste but also the temple suffers immense loss. As it is necessary in the interests of lands to repair the tank at a cost of Rs.1,000/- to Rs.1,200/- which I have agreed to meet from my pocket in addition to paying enhanced Gutta of Rs.400/-, as I am a land holder paying Revenue of Rs.600/- to Government and as I am willing to give as security a portion of my lands if ordered by Government; I respectfully beg to submit the benign Government will bestow a merciful consideration on my humble request and graciously sanction the lease in terms prayed for by me.

For which act of kindness I shall ever feel grateful

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore.

6-6-1919



73124

To

Inam Secy
B

G. Aravamuda Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.

Muzrai Secretary to the Government of

His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE

Sir,

I, the undersigned most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines for your kind and benevolent consideration

Inam S.Nos.183 and 184 of Begavalli Village, Thirthahalli Taluk, belonging to Sri Ganga Viseveswara Devaru Temple were leased out by open competition under G.O.No.1314/ Mus. 113-08-2 dated 10th November 1908, for a period of 10 years and were knocked down to me on an annual gutta of Rs.360/- As these lands were very much neglected, as the tank which irrigates these lands was in dis-repair, I had to lay out - much money and labour on the gardens.

2. This lease expires on 30th June 1919. As the arecanut gardens yield their crops 12 to 15 years after after their plantation in malnad, and as I have not been thus far able to reap the fruits of my labour, I put in an application to Government praying for my continuance for a further period of 15 years subject to my payment of an enhanced gutta of Rs.400/- per annum and to repair the tank at an estimated cost of Rs.1,000/- or Rs.1,200/-, and to otherwise bring the lands into a sound condition and added that I would furnish my lands as surety for prompt payment of gutta. This petition was sent to local authorities, and as ordered by Government, the Taluk Amildar inspected the lands in the presence of panchayatdars, myself and opponent Thimmanna -

Bhatta, drew up a mahazar about the condition of the land, took down our statements and sent up the records to the Deputy Commissioner and that officer on a consideration of the merits of the case recommended recently to Government that these lands be continued to me for a further period, subject to the conditions, re-gutta, repair to tank at my own cost &c, as already agreed to by me.

3. week after, on further representation by the late Manager himmanna Bhatta, the Deputy Commissioner got the records back from Government and seems to have paid a hurried visit to the place with Thimmanna Bhatta, who with a few of his friends showed only such portions of the garden as were reserved for this year's cultivation. As soon as I was informed of this matter, I went to Shimoga and presented an application on 23-5-1919 to the Deputy Commissioner, pointing out the false representations made by Thimmanna Bhatta. It seems the Deputy Commissioner has now altered his previous recommendation and reported that as the lands are not in a very good condition, they may be leased out to Thimmanna Bhatta.

4. As regards the condition of these lands in 1909 when they came to my possession, a perusal of letter No. 123-21 dated 31-8-1908 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, read in G. O. No.191-4/Muz.113-08-2 of 10-11-1908 is solicited. It will be seen that the manager Thimmanna Bhatta alienated a portion of the temple lands through Mulgeni of Rs.90/- to his relative, mortgaged some portion of the land and brought the remaining lands for revenue sale with the fraudulent intention of securing them to his son. For these and other reasons, the temple lands were taken under

Government management and leased out to me in the Government auction sale.

5. It may not be out of place to bring to the notice of the Government that the Manager Thimmanna Bhatta misappropriated the Cutta Amount of Rs.360/- which he received, even without paying Jodi and carrying out worship according to the fixed scale. The complaint reached the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. Mathan, who ordered sale of the properties of the Manager and Rs.18/- was realised. As this was ridiculously small amount, the Deputy Commissioner directed that the Temple amount should be recouped from the amounts to be paid to the Manager in subsequent years and the Gutta amount should thereafter be credited to the Treasury and not paid into the hands of the Manager. He has reported to Government that the man has no property to live upon, that he is untrustworthy and should be removed from the Temple Vahivat.

6. The records will show in what condition these lands were before they came under Government management in 1909 and what the yield then was and what it is now As the feeder tank is out of repair owing to the Manager's neglect all the gardens could not be speedily brought into a flourishing condition. Taking advantage of my absence from the place, the Manager Thimmanna Bhatta took the Deputy Commissioner to the spot and there showed him only such portion of the garden, as was reserved for this year's cultivation. It is usual in malnad to parcel out the garden lands into 3 portions and bring each under full cultivation once in every three years. It is submitted that the portion shown to the Deputy Commissioner is that part of the garden which had to be cultivated with manure, leaves &c, this year. As Government are aware of, there has been complete failure of rains in

For these reasons the lands could not have presented a decent appearance. As a comparison, the lands of Mr. Subramanya Bhatta, which have been under best cultivation for the past 20 or 25 years and are looked upon as the best lands in those parts were shown to the Deputy Commissioner, thereby creating an impression that the Temple lands which were unfit for cultivation in 1909 were not on a par with the lands of Mr. Subramanya Bhatta. It is submitted that the temple lands may be compared with any other lands in the locality including my own lands, and this has already been done by the Taluk Amildar. With the exception of my opponent Thimmanna Bhatta, none else have ever complained against this land.

7. As I have invested heavy amounts and labour for the better cultivation of these lands which had been spoiled by Thimmanna Bhatta, as the lands are without proper water supply and yield 12 to 15 years after plantation, as I have not been able to reap the fruits of my labour though I have been paying Gutta of Rs.360/-, as a Devotee of the temple as it is my desire to bring the lands into best condition so that the temple may derive the highest benefit from them and as Thimmanna Bhatta has been the main cause of the deterioration of the lands, and if the lands are once again entrusted to his who is penniless, the lands will surely go to ruin, thereby not only my trouble and money are mere waste but also the temple suffers immense loss: As it is necessary in the interests of landsto repair the Bank at a cost of Rs.1,000/- or Rs.1,200/-, which I have agreed to meet from my pocket in addition to paying enhanced Gutta of Rs.400/- as I am a Land holder paying revenue of Rs.800/- to Government and as I am willing to give as surety a portion of my lands as ordered by Government; I respectfully beg to submit

the benign Government will bestow a merciful consideration on my humble request and graciously sanction the lease in terms prayed for by me.

For which act of kindness I shall ever feel grateful.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore.

6-3-1919



To

The Muzarai Superintendent
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Petitioner: B. Timmaraja
Manager of Sree Sangabiveshwara
Devaru Belamandi
Thirthalli Taluq.

Subd.
24
23/6/19.

Rect

27/6/19
Mzd Gen Pte
Ltr
Wb

The Petitioner above named most respectfully begs to submit as follows:—

(1) That the petitioner is not yet given possession of the garden.

(2) The Deputy Commissioner has recommended that Petitioner to be restored to the possession of the garden on a yearly [illegible] of Rs 400/—

(3) That the period of Timmaraja's manjaffa of the garden given to [illegible] will be over by the end of June 1917.

(4) That Your Honour may be pleased to give effect to the G.O. dt 5-3-1912. and restore possession

The petitioner begs to remain Your most obedient [illegible]

Shemoga
20/6/19

10323

To

The Munzarai Secretary
Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

Petitioner: B. Timmanabatta
Tahsildar - Nee Gangavisveswara
Devaru Temple - Kegavalli Village
Thirthalli Taluq

The petitioner above named most respectfully and humbly begs to submit as follows:-

(1) That as per Endorsement No 85 Dt 11-7-1911, the petitioner was recognised as manager of the temple - Mung 497-07

(2) That as per No 2103 Dt 5-3-1915, Mung 7 Cog-11-7 - the petitioner The Government ordered that may be shown concession taking into view the money expended by the petitioner in improving the properties

(3) That as per No 912 Dt 8-2-1916 Mung Cog-11-16 - The Government were pleased to order that the temple properties be handed over to the petitioner -

(4) That the period of lease of temple lands was over by 30-6-1919. and that the petitioner is to be given possession of the temple lands as per conditions of No 85 Mung 497-07-10 Dt 11-7-1911

(5) That the petitioner craves that the Hon'ble Commissioner may

recommended that the petitioner to be put in possession of the properties and the Deputy Commissioner says that no reply is yet received by Government;

(6) Since as per Govt order mentioned in above para 3, the Deputy Commissioner could have put the petitioner in possession of the properties after the period of lease i.e 30-6-1919 Apparently, the Deputy Commissioner has lost sight of the above Govt. Order.

(7) That if more time is lost in correspondence, the garden will surely suffer and deteriorate as the timely agricultural operations could not be done

(8) That this is the reason for planting areca plants in place of decayed ones.

For the above reasons, the petitioner most humbly & respectfully prays that orders may kindly be passed to restore possession of the temple lands to the possession of the Petitioner and justice done -

Dt 2.7.1919

(Sd) [illegible]







223
16-7-14

To

The First Councillor to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Memo.

I, Belamande Thuvur Thimmanna Bhatta alias Thimmappayya, beg to submit this my humble petition to your kind consideration and disposal.

The endowment of Sree Gang Viveswar Temple which is near Mandagadde, Thirthahally Taluq belongs to me by right. On account of the false petition of Ganiavalli Manjappa, and his intrigues with the Amildar, the Amildar gave me an endorcement and confiscated the lands of the temple and their management (vahivat) to the government, without giving proper reasons for such a course of action. I procured some of the Government records and appealed to the Government. Mr. Narasimha Sastry, Amildar, inquired into the matter and reported to the Government the records I produced, and the oral statements of the witnesses on my side The Councillor was pleased to order thereon the restoration of my rights to me on the 27th Jan. 1911 Soon after I appealed, the present lease-holder Manjappa contrived to get the lease illegally

with the help of the Amildar, the public auction for the lease was recorded so that the matter never came to my knowledge at the time, and the lease was confirmed to Manjappa for Rs 360 a year.

In accordance with the order of the Councillor, the Government granted the indorcement no. 85 on 11.7.1911. Then I brought the matter of the of lease, the total income fro the lands of the temple, the wet-land I had converted into garden land, the addition of wet land to the tank and the consequent extension of it, the cost incurred for the above, as well as to the repair of another tank all these things I brought forward for discussion. The Government were pleased to give reply to the above in the endorcement no. $\frac{2103.}{404.11.7}$ of 5.3.1912. The Mahajar of the village Panchayat was taken; the new garden was found to be two acres on measurement. But while leasing out the land to Manjappa, the local authorities carefully eluded the mention of the garden-land, and purposely recorded all that to be mere wet-land. So I appealed once again to the government for and the Government was pleased to grant two more endorcements no. $\frac{917}{404.11.16}$ and $\frac{113}{40+}$ on 8.2.1913 and 17.7.1913 respectively. But in spite of these endorcements, the authorities took no more action in my favour. So I petitioned to the Government to refund to me the cost I had incurred. In the record already about the land, mention has been made of the garden-land, and the garden is still in exis- But on the ground mildar's ort that

that there was no garden-land included in the wet land, the Government gave me another endorsement no. 93/679-15-2 on 12.9.1916, stating that I had been already liberally treated and that no further concession could be granted.

Now Manjappa's term of lease came to a close on 30.6.1919. He again applied to the Amildar for the extension of the lease for another term of twelve years. The Sheikdar's mahajar of 16.3.1912, Mr. Amaldar Dharmarao's report of 19.6.14, and finally the personal inspection and report of the Deputy Commissioner on 18.5.1919, all these clearly go to show how illegally Manjappa is proceeding and how unfairly I have been treated.

On receipt of Manjappa's application, the Amildar recommended the lease-application, and forwarded it to the Deputy Commissioner, and the Deputy Commissioner forwarded it to the Government. But when I applied to the Deputy Commissioner for the restoration of my rights, he told me that the Amildar had recommended Manjappa's application. Then alone Manjappa's deceitful practice was revealed to me. When I explained to the Deputy Commissioner, how I had been already cheated, he got back the records from the Government, came to the locality and after carefully inspecting everything, he ordered that the land should be leased out to me at Rs 400 per year, for a period of fifteen years. There is no reason why the period should be limited. I humbly request the Government to

to grant me all the privileges in accordance with the previous endorcement no. 2103 of 5.3.1912, and to restore me all the endowme of the temple in accordance with the gover ment indorcement no. 917 of 8.2.1913
404.11.18

ಹರಬರ್ ಮೆಚ್ಚಸುಗಳೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಮೆಂಬರು ಅವರಿಗೆ

ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬರವರಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ —

ಶ್ರೀಮಧ್ವಸಿದ್ಧಾಂತವರ್ಧಿನೀ ಸಭಾ ಮುಜಫ್ಫರ್‌ಪುರ

ಕೊಂತಲಹವಲ್ಲಿ ಅಚೆ ತಾ 16/7/1919 —

ಶ್ರೀಮಧ್ವಸಿದ್ಧಾಂತಪ್ರಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗವಚ್ಛಾಸ್ತ್ರಮುದ್ರ

ಣಾರ್ಥವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಿತವಾದ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ

ಬಾನಂಮ್ಮ ಜುಮ್ಮಿನೆಸಗುವಳಿ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು 15 ವರ್ಷಗಳ

ವರೆಗೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂತೋಷಪಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬರೆದು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ

ನಾನು ಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿದ್ದೆನು. ಇದೇ ವಿಷಯವು ದಲ್ಲಿನ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಪ್ರಮುಖರಿಗೂ ಬರೆದು

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಹಾಲಿಸಾಗುವಳಿಯವರು ಆಯಾಯ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ

ಕಂತ್ರಾಟನ್ನು ಕಂತ್ರಾಟರಾಜಿನಾಮೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದು

ಪಟ್ಟಿಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮೂರ್ತಿಪೂಜಾ ಆಯಾಯ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನು

ಪೂಜಾಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮುಂದಿನ ಆಯಾಯ ಕಾಲಗಳಲ್ಲಿ

ಸ್ಥಳವರ್ತಮಾನಗಳು ಆಯಾಯ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ವಿಧೇಯ

ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು

ಆಯಾಯ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಸಭಾಸದಸ್ಯರು

ಸಭಾಸದಸ್ಯರು

Byrappa Bombay 17.7.19

Received 17/7/19

Ly. Manjappa c/o Ly. Ramachandra Iyer Patna boys school Master

646--2/24-7-19 The Hon Member, with Compliments

Weel 24.7.19

POSTS TELEGRAPHS

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

370/24 7.19

Charge to pay.

Rs.	As.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour	Minute.	Structure	Words
Shwaegu	24	9	50		

TO

Rec'd at 4 H. 55 M.

First [illegible] music

Government Burmese [illegible]

pray encouragement to [illegible]

of Fourteenth Instant [illegible]

Sangar's Lecture [illegible]

Cultivation and

Immunity [illegible]

N.B.—The name of the sender is [illegible]

Ed. Chano & Sons—5410—5 9-18—2,65,000 Bks Edn 1.1)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Petition registered No.40 dated 19th June 1918 from Manjappiah of Ganavalli, Tirthahalli Taluk, praying that the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Gangavisweswara Devaru Temple at Beguvalli in the said taluk may be extended in his favour for a further period of 15 years.

Letter No.467/Rev.dated 19th April 1919 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, reporting that the Taluk Amildar ---- inspected the lands and drew up a mahazar on the existing condition of the lands and recommending that as the present lessee is in a position to maintain the lands in an efficient condition which the vahivatdar is not, the lands may be leased out to the former for a further period of ten years from 1st July 1919 on condition of his paying an annual rental of Rs.400/- and executing all necessary repairs within a period of 2 years from 1st July 1919 to the two tanks above the gardens.

Letter No.467(Rev) dated 27th May 1919 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District stating that he has since inspected the lands in question and has noted that the gardens have not been maintained as well as some other gardens in the vicinity and in revision of his previous report, recommending that the lands may be leased to the vahivatdar Thimmanna Bhatta on the same terms as were ---- offered by Manjappiah.

Order thereon No. 433 Secretariat Records Mur 11-18-7

Dated Bangalore, 4 ~~July~~ August 1919.

On a careful consideration of all the facts of the case, the Government are of opinion that the interests of the temple take ---- precedence of everything else and having regard to Timmanna Bhatta's conduct, there are no equities properly so called which can be ---- recognized in his favour. As Manjappiah the present lessee admittedly in a better position financially to undertake the improvement of

of the gardens and the tanks, the lease of the lands in question will be renewed in his favour for a further period of ten years from 1st -- July 1919 on the conditions set forth in the letter of the Deputy Commissioner dated 19th April 1919, viz. (1) payment of an annual rental of Rs.400/- and (2) execution of all necessary repairs within a period of 2 years from 1st July 1919 to the tanks, (3) systematic improvement of the lands according to a fixed programme to be approved by the Dy Com^r

2. A muchalike to this effect should be obtained from the lessee with a distinct understainding that a breach of any of the conditions will entail a termination of the lease without any previous --- notice.

3. Systematic improvement of the lands according to the fixed --- programme to be approved by the Deputy Commissioner,

(Fair draft.)

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, returning the records

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT 3

Section Mur
File No. 11-18
Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

No. 434

Date of issue 4-8-19

Signed by.....

To

శ్రీ వేదుల వీరభద్రశాస్త్రి గారు
గ్రామము కరణాలకు మంత్రిత్వము
చేయుట
ఈ సంవత్సరపు శ్రీ కంచి వరదరాజ
స్వామి దేవస్థానపు ధర్మకర్తృత్వపు
పనులకై శిష్యుడగు శ్రీ వేదుల
కోదండ శివప్రసాదుల వారికి 1918 వ
యిష్టీ శాఖ ఫసలీ 19 వ మజ్కూరు 40 వ
నంబరు ఆర్డరు ప్రకారము ధర్మకర్తృత్వపు
విధివశమున గ్రామ కరణీకము
మూడు సంవత్సరములకు గాను
తాంబరపు శాసనమును.

* ఈ విషయమును గూర్చి మీ సంబంధపు

over.



List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11 16

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 3105

Section ಮಾಲ್

File No. 11-18

Serial No. 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

No. 435

Date of issue..... 14-8-19

To

Signed by.....

ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕೈಮರ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ಅಳತೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ಅಳತೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ಅಳತೆ



List of enclosures.

Co d 'n

E a ined by.